Available online at http://cadmusjournal.org/

Cadmus

Promoting Leadership in Thought that Leads to Action

Volume 5, Issue 4 - July 2025

The Peace Offensive:
A New Strategic Framework for Conflict Resolution

Donato Kiniger-Passigli
Vice President, World Academy of Art and Science

Abstract

"The Peace Offensive: A New Strategic Framework for Conflict Resolution" presents a transformative approach to conflict resolution that emphasizes the vital role of social dynamics alongside governmental negotiations. This article expands on the author's earlier work published in Cadmus with the intent to promote further contributions on this theme. The Peace Offensive is based on proactive measures to de-escalate global conflicts, prioritize trust-building initiatives, and support innovative educational programs leveraging artificial intelligence and social networks to enhance peacebuilding efforts. This article reconsiders traditional peace-making approaches while advocating for a holistic understanding of cultural contexts and the subjective realities shaping conflicts. By promoting collaboration among diverse actors and emphasizing local ownership, the Peace Offensive aims to redefine perceptions and decision-making processes, ultimately converting intractable crises into pathways for sustainable peace. The initiative seeks to empower communities, fostering conditions where peace can organically thrive. The present objective is the definition of a shared approach and of a comprehensive strategy for future Peace Offensive endeavours.*

1. Human-Centric Peacebuilding

"There are certain things that only governments can do: negotiating binding agreements; but there are other things only citizens can do: change human relations." This observation by Harold Saunders, a master of shuttle diplomacy instrumental in the Camp David Accords, underscores the pivotal role of social dynamics in achieving non-violent conflict resolution and fostering positive peace.

The inherent connection between human security, in all its multifaceted dimensions, and core societal values is undeniable.† The root causes of open conflict frequently originate in inequalities, grievances, unresolved inter-group tensions, ethnic disparities, conflicting aspirations and perceptions, and the pervasive challenges of poverty and underdevelopment. These causes are further compounded by a number of intersecting dimensions that contribute to social exclusion such as youth, gender identity, disability, and different forms of marginalization.

---

* Donato Kiniger-Passigli, "Time for a Peace Offensive," *Cadmus Journal* 5, no. 3 (2024) https://www.cadmusjournal.org/article/volume-5-issue-3-p2/time-peace-offensive

† "Peace & Human Security", World Academy of Art and Science, March 7, 2025 https://worldacademy.org/human-security/;

HS4A https://humansecurity.world/ WAAS devised the Human Security for All (HS4A) campaign in partnership with the United Nations Trust Fund for Human Security (UNTFHS). Human Security encompasses 7+ dimensions: Economic, Food, Health, Environmental, Personal, Community, Political, and Technological..

Consequently, establishing open dialogue among involved parties and representatives of civil society is paramount, whether the objective is conflict prevention or de-escalation of existing violence. Based on this standpoint, the World Academy of Art and Science (WAAS) and the European Academy of Sciences and Arts (EASA) jointly unveiled a vision statement for the "Global Peace Offensive" in Maribor on October 21, 2024.* This initiative articulates a comprehensive three-pronged strategy encompassing different sets of activities, with variable intensity, according to context-specific needs and available resources.

The Peace Offensive fundamentally seeks to redefine our approach to conflict, transforming seemingly intractable crises into opportunities for building durable peace.

- Strategic openings and proactive measures to de-escalate conflicts globally through a phased approach. Initial confidence-building measures include pre-negotiation pioneering, symbolic gestures and gradual tension-reduction measures to initiate dialogue and build trust. This involves localized initiatives leveraging cultural, scientific, economic, educational, and environmental diplomacy, in concert with robust engagement within political, economic, and media systems. The focus is on incremental progress and sustainable solutions.
- Trust-building initiatives that assess the needs and claims of all conflict parties. These initiatives prioritize giving voice to all stakeholders and promoting international cultural exchange and collaborative partnerships to build a foundation for lasting peace. The overarching objective is to enhance cooperation for human security, including increased adherence to the Sustainable Development Goals. Collaborative responses to interconnected challenges through people-to-people initiatives, based on identified needs and opportunities, are essential.
- Lasting peace through cultural, scientific, and educational diplomacy, supported by traditional diplomatic channels, parliamentary diplomacy, and peace education. Through this track of activities, innovative educational programs that harness the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) and the reach of social networks will impact political decisions and the trajectory of global peace. This involves fostering a digitally literate population equipped to engage effectively with peacebuilding initiatives.

---

* "Vision Statement for a Global Peace Offensive", WAAS https://worldacademy.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/WAAS_peace_offensive_vision_oct212024.pdf;

The Global Peace Offensive initiative was officially launched in July at 16th edition of the Conference of Rectors from Black Sea Region in Istanbul, 11-12 July 2024. It was reinstated at a meeting of WAAS and EASA in Maribor, 21 October 2024. Follow-up discussions in the context of the Peace Offensive between WAAS and AMEU – EASA took place January 6-7, 2025, in Florence, Italy. Ongoing discussions between the Club of Rome and WAAS aim at refining the approach further for subsequent implementation. For more information, see:

- Global Peace Offensive https://worldacademy.org/global-peace-offensive/
- BSUN Congress 2024 https://worldacademy.org/conference-page/bsun-congress-2024/
- WAAS and EASA Meeting, Maribor 2024 https://en.almamater.si/historic-meeting-of-the-two-largest-academies-of-arts-and-sciences-n1484
- WAAS and EASA Meeting, Florence 2025 https://worldacademy.org/world-academy-of-art-and-science-and-european-academy-of-sciences-and-arts-launch-global-peace-offensive/

The Global Peace Offensive strategic approach is designed to facilitate open dialogue and constructive interaction between parties embroiled in conflict. The goal is to build bridges among different stakeholders, promoting understanding and fostering an environment conducive to reconciliation and sustainable peace.

Because peace is a phenomenon that must be cultivated organically from within, rather than imposed externally, particular attention to the societal context is vital when addressing crises or potential conflicts.

The overarching objective is to refine decision-making processes, enhance analytical capabilities, and reshape narratives by deploying groundbreaking conflict resolution strategies.

The Peace Offensive fundamentally seeks to redefine our approach to conflict, transforming seemingly intractable crises into opportunities for building durable peace. This transformative process prioritizes conflict prevention and ensures that peace-making initiatives are firmly grounded in the specific needs and perspectives of local communities. It demands a direct and uncompromising confrontation with the underlying root causes of conflict, whether they stem from resource scarcity, deep-seated ethnic tensions, religious divisions, and social exclusion.

2. The Limits of Conventional Peace-making

The persistent elusiveness of peace worldwide should not serve as a justification for inaction, a retreat from the political sphere, or a neglect of pressing human security challenges. The current eruption of multifaceted crises, born from tensions simmering for over two decades, starkly reveals the inadequacy of traditional political approaches to sustaining peace. This inadequacy is further amplified by the resurgence of political and ideological polarization, a phenomenon vividly described by Peter Mair in "Ruling the Void" as stemming from the withdrawal and estrangement of citizens and elite from Western European politics.*

Traditional political systems, often rooted in a simplistic Manichean view of society, are increasingly giving way to a broader spectrum of actors—strategists, academics, think tank members, frontline activists, scientists, and others—who are actively engaged in promoting the common good and preserving peace. These individuals, representing diverse expressions of civil society, often demonstrate superior judgment and a capacity for fostering mutual understanding, provided they remain free from partisan bias and dogmatic adherence to rigid ideologies. Their efficacy, however, depends critically on their receptive attitudes and open-mindedness towards broader population concerns.

* "Review of Peter Mair's Ruling the Void," *Stir to Action*, accessed March 7, 2025, https://www.stirtoaction.com/articles/review-of-peter-mairs-ruling-the-void

Sustainable, long-term peacebuilding, a distinctly societal endeavour, can only be accomplished through the collective efforts of the people themselves. The illusion that external peace brokers or hastily deployed interventions can magically pacify entire regions has repeatedly proven unattainable. Because peace is a phenomenon that must be cultivated organically from within, rather than imposed externally, particular attention to the societal context is vital when addressing crises or potential conflicts. This helps prevent the damaging disconnect between subjective perceptions and objective reality. Countless examples highlight the grave consequences of this dissonance. Similarly, serious errors can arise from misunderstandings of the thoughts, reactions, and judgments of nations and peoples, particularly among adversaries whose cultural values and traditions differ significantly.

Significant distortions and misrepresentations can easily occur in any assessment of actions, reactions, and underlying motivations in any human interaction, particularly when the relationships that form the social fabric of a society are damaged by the rise of conflict. A structured methodology, such as the Peace Offensive provides a framework for addressing these multifaceted problems, regardless of their specific temporal or geographical contexts.

3. The Perils of Misperception in Peacebuilding

With the emergence of hybrid forms of peace-making and the expanding role of non-traditional peacebuilders, the academic and research communities must proactively engage with practitioners and counterparts—political, economic, local, national, and international actors—to gain a more nuanced understanding of the opposing forces at play.* This includes a thorough examination of their motives, on-the-ground realities, strengths, strategies, and potential points for breakthrough across the entire spectrum of stakeholders in the pursuit of sustainable peace. Achieving this requires developing an integrated, field-relevant approach for conducting comprehensive conflict analysis within all conflict theatres. The Peace Offensive represents a fundamentally proactive strategic analytical framework designed to actively promote peace.

In any mediation or negotiation setting, be it diplomatic or corporate, the concepts of "strategy" and "strategic thinking" are of paramount importance. Strategy, the outcome of deliberate, voluntary, and inherently challenging human actions, can be approached as both a science (emphasizing knowledge and methodology) and an art (emphasizing experience and creativity). Its essential function is to induce decisions, or a series of decisions, with conscious and calculated intent. Importantly, strategies can also serve as frameworks for aligning diverse actors towards shared long-term aspirations or ambitions, functioning like a roadmap. In this context, it is essential to recognize that multiple policies may coexist, and that goals should be regularly tested and questioned to ensure they remain relevant and effective.

A critical deficiency in most contexts and conflict theatres lies in the inadequate study and understanding of both the enablers and inhibitors of peace. These frequently relate to differing perceptions of reality; however, the current focus of international politics often

* Oliver P. Richmond, "Hybrid Forms of Peace, Peace Formation, and Counter-Peace," in *Peace: A Very Short Introduction* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2023)

prioritizes superficial appearances over genuine engagement with real-world dynamics. Rhetoric, loudspeaker pronouncements, threats, and coercive tactics are rarely conducive to progress in the pursuit of peace.

Security policy is intrinsically linked to assessments of the attitudes of adversaries or opposing forces, including potential enemies—where threat perception is crucial—and allies—where mutual understanding and a convergence of thought and action are equally vital. These observations apply to the realms of politics, diplomacy, and strategy, as well as to the actions of those designated as "peacebuilders". While often well-intentioned, these individuals or organizations may sometimes misinterpret their roles or the dynamics on the ground, leading to misguided interventions.

In interstate, inter-communal, and intercultural relations, we primarily navigate a landscape of reciprocal perceptions of reality. The reality itself, the arena of our thoughts and actions, remains only partially and imperfectly understood, often becoming clearer only with the benefit of hindsight—and even then, so-called "historical truths" are frequently open to debate. Beyond metaphysical arguments, what truly matters at the time of action is the crystallized perception of reality, as it forms the basis for decisions and subsequent actions. These perceptions go beyond mere facts and observations; they include the meanings that individuals or groups assign to specific situations, which shape what is seen as "real." To prevent conflicts from escalating, we must recognize and accept that these meanings can differ among stakeholders and can change over time.

Barbara Tuchman's "The Guns of August" vividly illustrates how Europe stumbled into World War I due to a cascade of mistaken perceptions. In the weeks leading up to the war, both the Allies and Central Powers found themselves entangled in a fateful sequence of events, inexorably leading to a devastating conflict fuelled by faulty assumptions and misconceptions surrounding the assassination of Archduke Ferdinand in Sarajevo—one misperception compounding another until, within six weeks, the world was plunged into global war.

The most tragic consequences of World War II were preceded by another ill-fated move, the "appeasement" policy that Chamberlain championed in an attempt to turn a blind eye to Hitler's revindications at the 1938 Munich Conference.

On a different scale, we could also recall Khrushchev's initial assessment of President Kennedy at their 1961 Vienna meeting, when he perceived Kennedy as a young, easily intimidated leader, a misjudgement that contributed to the heightened tensions of the Cuban Missile Crisis. Consider also the experiences of the United States, France, and Western Europe surrounding the Iranian Revolution of 1979. How did they perceive that revolution? What accounted for the significant dissonance between their subjective understanding and the objective reality on the ground? The lack of information was not the problem; it was the flawed interpretation of available facts that resulted in profound errors in judgment.

The 2003 US invasion of Iraq, predicated on an inaccurate assessment of Saddam Hussein's weapons of mass destruction capabilities, stands as a stark reminder of the

catastrophic consequences of misapprehension. Whether intentional or not, the conflict continues to reverberate through global affairs to this day.

The tragic failures of the UN in the former Yugoslavia, which ultimately paved the way for NATO's bombing and peace enforcement under its flag, further exemplify the perils of misconceptions and dubious mandates. Many more instances of catastrophic misjudgements could be cited. Historians would do well to explore how these distortions of reality can lead to a multiplication of errors and propel nations and people into otherwise avoidable tragedies.

Each nation, community, or region occupies its own unique cultural and historical context. It possesses a unique cultural heritage, a distinct social environment, and a specific set of values—the product of its profound historical experience and development, its folklore, artistic expressions, language, symbolic systems, patterns of thought, outlook on life, hopes, fears, anxieties, geographical coordinates, socio-economic structures, and the overall framework of values that govern its actions. On the other hand, globalization, while fostering coexistence, often creates pockets of exclusion and resentment.

This intricate network of cultural influences is further complicated by stereotypical views, conditioned reflexes, uncertainties, and misgivings about the intentions, objectives, and policies of neighbours or adversaries.

In our pursuit of peace, we must approach reality with an open mind, as honest mediators carrying our own value systems with us, much as one might view the external aspects of a building, mosque, or cathedral, assessing it based on subjective standards. However, this value system, essential for our own decision-making, can become a hindrance, leading to skewed perceptions. Viewing reality solely from an external perspective makes us vulnerable to misinterpretations, especially when we remain bound by our own value systems.

We need to allow the phenomenon under study to speak to us directly and transparently, free from the distortions imposed by our biases. This does not imply endorsement but rather a suspension of judgment, allowing impressions to reach us in a manner similar to how they would reach the inhabitants of that cathedral, mosque, or building—those who live, breathe, and experience that context as their own.

Their reality, often distinct from our own, is an independent entity. Physical reality itself can be perceived differently through the eyes of diverse cultures. These are not merely different interpretations but fundamentally distinct realities.

4. A Matter of Perspective: Understanding Cultural Differences

Even the perception of physical reality is heavily influenced by the cultural context in which it is observed. Every culture possesses a unique disposition that shapes its way of seeing things.

The groundbreaking introduction of perspective in Renaissance painting, attributed to Filippo Brunelleschi, represents a pivotal shift in Western perception. Prior to the 12th or 13th centuries, Western painting largely lacked any sense of spatial depth. Then, suddenly, perspective dramatically changed the way Western art depicted the world.

A profound sense of depth and spatial awareness emerged, coinciding with Western Europe's emergence from the Dark Ages and a growing fascination with space. This is evident in Renaissance art's pursuit of spatial depth, but it is also mirrored in the development of the orchestra (sound-filling space) and later in skyscrapers and humanity's ventures into space exploration.

This outward expansion of the Western consciousness, this heightened awareness of spatial dimensions, is apparent in nearly every facet of human activity. In contrast, Eastern painting, even into the 19th century, often lacked perspective. Did this signify a difference in their perception of spatial relationships among objects? What kind of reality is reflected in their artistic representations?

When using perspective in painting or drawing, the observer implicitly claims a privileged position—a manifestation of Western self-consciousness, separating the artist from the subject rather than integrating with it. The artist is no longer simply stating, "This is how I see reality from this particular viewpoint," but rather asserts, "This is reality."

Other cultures—look at Chinese or Japanese paintings or Moghul miniatures from the Asian subcontinent—lack this privileged observer position; the observer does not claim any special status, nor does the artist separate themselves from the scene being depicted.

It is imperative that, when studying any self-contained cultural system, we approach it with a phenomenological mindset—seeking to understand, not judge.* The first act is perception; only then comes conceptualization. We could label this approach as "conflict sensitivity," including at community and grassroots levels, but a label would risk oversimplification and reduction to technical jargon.

When supporting local and community dialogue, it is crucial to revisit what we have learned through the lens of our own value systems, using those values as benchmarks for action, but with a deeper, more informed understanding. We return to these values to guide action based on less distorted perceptions.

5. Scales of Value and the Perils of Dissonance

Descartes' writings laid the groundwork for modern science and technology. His method of inquiry involved a distinct separation of subject from object (Cartesian dualism), thereby paving the way for modern science's emphasis on experimental investigation of the external world. In separating subject and object, Descartes found the certainty he sought—self-awareness.

This very act of separation—the assertion of humanity's dominance over nature—marks the birth of the modern scientific method and the entire system of thought that underpins science and technology. The key takeaway is that judging other cultural contexts from the framework of our own values exacerbates perceptual distortions. This is particularly prevalent

* For further insight into the phenomenological approach, see Edmund Husserl, *Ideas: General Introduction to Pure Phenomenology* (London: George Allen & Unwin, 1931)

in Western thought, given its intellectual tradition, which assumes a formal division between external reality and the self that seeks to interpret it.

This separation has influenced international relations and diplomatic interactions. It is vital to recognize and counteract these tendencies in today's increasingly multipolar world.

Another critical observation is that the preceding two centuries provide misleading guidance for contemporary affairs. The period from 1850 to 1914 witnessed the Pax Britannica, a unipolar system. Subsequently, from 1945 to 1970, the Pax Americana saw the United States thrust into a leadership role it did not necessarily desire. During this period, the dominance of the United States tended to suppress the multipolar realities that have historically been the norm. This aberration eventually yielded to a transition from bipolarity to a multipolar order.

The post-Cold War era ushered in a clear shift toward multipolarity. The dissolution of the Soviet Union resulted in the development of new diplomatic strategies and the emergence of diverse actors, such as the European Union and various regional organizations. This era also highlighted the increasing complexity of managing ethnic conflicts, erupting in regions such as the Balkans, where the international community's response involved a mixture of diplomatic pressure, economic sanctions, and peacekeeping (UN) or peace enforcement (NATO) operations, often with questionable mandates and limited success.

This era also marked the beginning of a new approach to conflict analysis, focusing on addressing root causes rather than merely symptoms. In the 21st century, the global conflict landscape continues to evolve, significantly shaped by the rise of various non-state actors, including non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that provide humanitarian assistance and advocacy; multinational corporations that influence economic and political dynamics; and terrorist organizations that exploit societal grievances. The interplay between these actors complicates the conflict landscape, highlighting the need for comprehensive analysis and tailored strategies for conflict resolution and peacebuilding.

The current multipolar landscape features numerous centres of power that transcend traditional state entities to include corporations and oligarchs. These entities have challenged traditional geopolitical strategies, introducing both new obstacles and opportunities for mediation and peacebuilding. Hybrid peace processes integrate conventional diplomatic tactics with public dialogue, innovative technological solutions, and science diplomacy.

By combining traditional methodologies with modern technologies and direct community engagement, hybrid models such as the Peace Offensive aspire to foster more inclusive and enduring outcomes. In an era defined by exploding communications and increasing interdependence among diverse centres of power, mutual understanding is of paramount importance.

This effort at comprehension must be conducted along the lines previously suggested, allowing us to guard against potential errors. An excessively ethnocentric viewpoint, in strategy or diplomacy, is as misplaced as the geocentric view of the universe that was prevalent before the Copernican Revolution.

The belief that the sun and the universe revolved around the Earth—considered the fixed point at the centre of the universe—was shattered by Copernicus. Earth was relegated to its true status: just another planet orbiting a star. This overturned not only the astronomical order but also the prevailing spiritual hierarchy. Inductive reasoning, at the heart of the scientific method, rose to prominence, while the deductive method was gradually eclipsed.

The success of the Peace Offensive hinges on the sustainability of initiatives that cultivate a sense of local ownership and empower communities to become the architects of their own peaceful futures.

While a guiding value system is indispensable for action, viewing reality solely through this lens can distort its true form.

Many conflict analysis methods employed by multilateral agencies often lack this profound understanding of the contextual nuances of reality and tend to replicate the error of prejudging reality with preconceived theories. Reducing the potential for errors is critical, especially in our currently volatile and dangerous world, where hotbeds of tension persist and could ignite into major crises.

The imperative to liberate ourselves from ethnocentric approaches is equally crucial for diplomats, strategists, and policymakers. Recognizing that each party's perceptions create distinct realities is paramount. Otherwise, the strategic environment will be misjudged, and our efforts inevitably misplaced.

However, an antidote exists to these fatal errors arising from distorted perceptions. This corrective is the Peace Offensive, a proactive strategic approach that gradually expands its attention along with its mutual understanding and peace dividends. These inclusive steps must take advantage of potential windows of opportunity, particularly in post-conflict settings or during periods of political transition.

Through the custodianship of WAAS, the Peace Offensive is not merely a set of cookie-cutter tools applied by outside consultants. Instead, it is founded on the premise that positive change is possible when parties to conflict recognize the legitimacy of reciprocal initiatives for compromise. This approach emphasizes that gradual processes of change and mediation should be co-owned by a wide network of actors.

Regardless of the ultimate conclusions of this process, our analytical strategy is built on context-specific determinants. This conflict analysis transitions to a second phase which explores variables, friction points, and uncertainties to achieve a comprehensive and systematic understanding. The proposed methodology minimizes the unknown. It reduces dissonance of perceptions and aligns people and groups around small, yet achievable, positive steps towards resolution, while fostering collaboration among all involved stakeholders.

6. The Peace Offensive: Concept and Application

The success of the Peace Offensive hinges on the sustainability of initiatives that cultivate a sense of local ownership and empower communities to become the architects of their own peaceful futures. The initiative will draw upon the expertise of like-minded organizations and individuals to reinforce existing peace efforts, with WAAS playing a key role in integrating the concept of human security into the project.

Through our approach, we strive to define priorities and objectives. An initial concept document—an interim result of our team's research—would provide mediators and skilled diplomats with a working blueprint for action, highlighting possible zones of compromise and potential entry points for negotiation.

These key findings should be shared as widely as security considerations permit. Experience has shown that excessive secrecy undermines trust among the parties involved.

This approach, formulated with consideration for the multifaceted opportunities for peace promotion (scientific, cultural, and environmental), is intended for application across a wide range of crisis situations, particularly in the early stages, to effectively defuse tension.

The utilization of intelligence gathering, AI-driven analysis, and the participation of a diverse range of stakeholders, including networks of young mediators and peacebuilders, are considered valuable assets in the pursuit of sustainable peace.

A coalition of civil society networks, providing support for peace processes, especially at the local level, would be an especially effective tool for the implementation of the Peace Offensive. The academic world and the media can also contribute decisively to conflict prevention and peace education, with the support of social media in particular, potentially fostering cross-cultural understanding amongst younger generations even in remote areas underserved by traditional media.

At the time of this writing, the vision for a Global Peace Offensive, presented in Maribor in October 2024, is gaining momentum. Discussions are underway to further refine this approach and facilitate its joint implementation with regional academic networks and leading think tanks, all working toward identifying practical steps for de-escalating tensions and encouraging collaboration through multi-faceted peace efforts.

The Global Peace Offensive represents a coordinated endeavour to transform crises into opportunities for progress through strategic action, laying the foundation for a future of lasting peace and human security for all.

The impetus for peace must arise from the people themselves; peace can only blossom from within.

Author's Contact Information
Email: kiniger@worldacademy.org